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1. Introduction

*Bustān al-Salāṭīn* (meaning Garden of the Sultans) is one of the traditional Malay written texts that explain the history of Aceh in the 17th century. This paper contains the history of Islam and the Malay Muslim tradition in Aceh, which was written by the prominent ‘ulamā’, namely Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī (d. 1658). Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī is known for his ideas against the *wujūdiyah* Sufism (Van Nieuwenhuijze, 1948), from 2 earlier Malay ‘ulamā’ Melayu, namely Shams al-Dīn al-Sumatrānī (d. 1630) and Hamzah Fansuri (d. 1527) (Quddus, 2019; Sirry, 2019; Tuncer, 2021). Although only writing *Bustān* is an integral part of historical evidence of civilization in Malay, especially in Aceh, which held the control of Islamic rule in the Malay world (Southeast Asia).

This article examines *Bustān al-Salāṭīn* as historical evidence with a strong text approach. It differs from the history of other Malay texts, such as *Hikajat Atjeh*, whose work was completed almost simultaneously (Iskandar, 1959). Although, at the same time, in terms of historical context, *Bustān al-Salāṭīn* is more complete than Hikajat Atjeh, especially in terms of the Islamization adopted in Aceh and Malaysia. Apart from Hikajat Atjeh, there is *Hikayat Raja-raja Pasai* and *Sejarah Melayu* (Hill, 1960; Kratz, 1989), which are more on the political side of Malay culture by considering aspects of local traditions. It is stated that the Malay rulers were closer to Hindu-Buddhist elements than pure Islam (Winstedt, 1938b). In comparison, *Bustān* is more concerned with studying Islam that connects other dimensions, such as geography, which places Aceh as the ‘center of civilization’ at the global level of Malay. From the textual and linguistic side, *Bustān* presents a straightforward narrative and precise articulation and is detached from myths such as those in *Hikayat Raja-raja Pasai* and *Sejarah Melayu* (Winstedt, 1938a).

The focus of the discussion in this article on the history of Aceh is seen in how *Bustān* narrates the historiography of the formation of the Aceh sultanate and the process of Islamization in the middle of the royal political tomb until 1636-1641 during the reign of *Ratu ʂafiyat al-Dīn* (Gin & Tuan, 2015a, p. 189). This article is devoted to the politics of power, which is the main subject of *Bustān*. The reason for the focus of this discussion is that the parties listed in *Bustān* have a solid desire to Islamize royal politics in Aceh, which is in line with the mission of the Sufi tarekat *al-Ranīrī* as *Shaykh al-Islām* in the Aceh Sultanate. By highlighting several issues, such as the construction of the ‘Islamic’ sultanate government in the *Bustān* text as part of the significant project of political Islamization carried out by Sultan Iskandar Thani (1636-1641) (Ito, 1978).

This article has a solid basis for filling in the gaps in previous research, like Wormser (2012) (reviewed by Ricklefs), which shows *Bustān’s* position in positioning Malay culture with Islam (Ricklefs, 2013). Meanwhile, Harun (2004) focuses more on Islamizing the text in the *Bustān* script (Harun, 2004). However, Harun’s findings do not mention the complete history of Aceh. This research is more similar to Burhanudin (2021) where *Bustān* is the historiography of the Aceh sultanate (Burhanudin, 2021), so this research strengthens Burhanuddin’s findings that *Bustān* as an explanation of Aceh’s history is also a legacy of the Aceh sultanate where Aceh was the center of the Malay world. The difference between this study and Burhanudin’s findings, this article reveals Bustān as a history in ancient Malay (Aceh) manuscripts, but also archaeological evidence, which is described in the discussion that follows in this article.

2. Methods

*Bustān* is an ancient Malay Islamic manuscript that can be studied using philological methods (Nichols, 1990) because only with authentication philology and the aesthetic value of *Bustān* can be revealed comprehensively based on historical evidence as culture, artifacts, and traditional values passed down from generation to generation, as a basis for modern civilization (Sluiter & Rosen, 2012).
With a qualitative approach (Miller et al., 2018) and the main source is the Malay Islamic ancient text (*Bustān*), this data mining uses paratext help to help clarify the condition of the sultanate of Aceh and other Malay worlds when Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī wrote this manuscript. According to Allen, paratexts are texts that are recorded around the main text of *Bustān* as an explanation of the substance of the meaning of the main text (Allen, 2020; Allen & Royle, 2020). In the process of data credibility, this study uses source triangulation (Cutcliffe & McKenna, 1999; Patton, 1999; Thurmond, 2001).

Data collection for this research was conducted through the following stages: (a) searching for manuscripts in the British Library collection, (b) identifying chapters in *Bustān* according to the research objectives; (c) translating the *Bustān* text; (d) the meaning of paratext in the *Bustān* text; (e) presenting data into articles. The sources used for triangulation are *Hikajat Atjeh*, *Hikayat Raja-raja Pasai*, and *Sejarah Melayu* are other Malay works that can help clarify and sharpen findings from the *Bustān* manuscript.

3. Results and Discussion

a. Biography of Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī and His Works (*Bustān al-Salāṭīn*)

Under his last name as a nickname for him, who was born in Ranīrī, which is an old port city on the coast of Gujarat, India. Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī comes from the Hadrami family in Aidarusiyyah Tarīm, Yemen. Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī’s contact with Malay culture was through his mother, who was an indigenous Malay. It was from Malay that Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī connected with intellectual networks of ‘ulamā’ from Southeast Asia (especially Java) and the Middle East (such as Mecca and Medina) (Laffan, 2011). It was against this background that Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī focused more on his intellectual career in Malay Islam than on his hometown of Gujarat, India.

After wandering, in 1621, Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī arrived in the archipelago with his readiness to seek knowledge and then lived in Pahang. There, he studied Malay literature and languages such as *Sulālat al-Salāṭīn* and *Tāj al-Salāṭīn* (Chambert-Loir, 2017; Fathurahman, 2012; Feener et al., 2011a).
By living in Pahang, Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī also learned about the culture of the Malay kingdom between Aceh and Malacca, which was an autonomous kingdom within the Kingdom of Johor, Malaysia (Wilkinson, 1932). This experience of living in Pahang made Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī develop his career as a ‘Muslim scholar’ by serving, among others: as a religious advisor in the kingdom of Aceh, head of the office of Shaykh al-İslām replacing Shams al-Dīn al-Sumantrānī (d. 1630) in 1673.

*Bustān al-Salāṭīn* is a monumental work written by Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī, who started working in 1638 after a year. He served Sultan Iskandar Thani (Ricklefs, 2013). In the preface to Bustān, Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī writes a prologue that reads:

“mengarang (lah) sebuah kitab (buku) dalam bahasa Melayu (Jawi) yang menceritakan upaya masyarakat ..... di bumi .... untuk mengisahkan perbuatan raja-raja Melayu di masa lalu dan masa mendatang” (Grinter, 1979).

Meaning: “composing a *kitab* (book) in Malay (Jawi) which tells of the efforts of the people ..... on earth .... to narrate the actions of the Malay kings in the past and the future”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Composition of Bustān al-Salāṭīn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Book Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a unique ancient manuscript, Bustān has several chapters that explain the creation of nature, man (Adam), the history of pre-Islamic Islam, the Prophet Muhammad PBUH, the caliphate of Islam in Arabia and Persia, India, and Malay, all of which are explained in books I and II. While book III contains adab or goals for didactic by providing examples in the history of Islamic leadership as exemplary Islamic leaders, such as the caliph (*al-khulafā‘ rāshidūn*) and the sultans after him (Harun, 2004). There are similarities with *Tāj al-Salāṭīn* in terms of political ideas through Malay texts and literature (V. I. Braginsky, 2005; Burhanudin, 2001; Sutherland, 1995). Both *Tāj al-Salāṭīn* and *Bustān al-Salāṭīn* these two writings provide guidelines for Muslims to choose and educate to become elite (best) leaders and rulers based on Islamic principles.

b. *Bustān al-Salāṭīn*, the Kings of Aceh, and the Establishment of the Sultanate System

Some literature states that the first Islamic ruler to establish a sultanate in Aceh was Ali Mughayat Shah (died 1530), who was named the Aceh Sultanate *Dār al-Salām* (Darul Salam) (Khan, 2013). In the *Bustān* text, Ali Mughayat Shah is allegedly the first sultan to invite the public to follow the teachings of Allah and His Messenger through the al-İqrân and Ḥadīth (Feener et al., 2011b). Although, the complete discussion of Bustān is its relation to the formation of a government in the Islamic sultanate, as found in the works of modern scholars (Abdullah, 1989; Reid, 1988a), even Puerta-Vilchez analyses that *Bustān* was influenced by the treasures of the Alhambra court in Granada, Spain. in the 11th century where aesthetics in art, architecture and literature were so admirable, that the formation of government and royal landmarks in the Malay world was inspired by political architects in Granada (Puerta-Vilchez, 2021). However, *Bustān* is not the only work that mentions this narrative. There is still *Hikayat Raja-raja Pasai* and *Sejarah Melayu*, as mentioned in the introduction (Hill, 1960; Kratz, 1989). Even Jones Russell, in *The Texts of the Hikayat Raja Pasai: A Short Note*
mentions that Samudra Pasai was the first Islamic kingdom in Aceh ‘di negeri bawah angin’ or ‘in the wind's land’ (Jones, 1980).

Although the same, the Hikayat Raja-raja Pasai differs from Bustān, which emphasizes the mystical side of the ruler in a country after meeting the Prophet Muhammad PBUH. Bustān also commented on his concern for Ali Mughayat Shah, who became ruler by defeating the kingdoms of Pidie (1521), Samudra Pasai (1524), and Daya (1520) (Andaya, 2001; Auni, 1993; Hadi, 1999). These three kingdoms are the most substantial basis for forming the Sultanate of Aceh, with Ali Mughayat Shah as the founder and first king (Iskandar, 2007; Lombard, 1986a; Wilkinson, 1932).

The way Bustān mentions Islamic civilization in Aceh through Ali Mughayat Shah shows a different side from Hikayat Atjeh, who said that Ali Mughayat Shah was part of the Lamuri royal family was divided by establishing the Sultanate of Aceh as a unifier (Iskandar, 1959). The two claims from Bustān are not entirely justified because several scholars find Lamuri was a powerful kingdom that was a rival to the Aceh kingdom (Ibrahim et al., 2022; Montana, 1997). Regardless of the debate, Ali Mughayat Shah himself was a member of the Lamuri royal family, so he inherited ‘noble blood’ before finally becoming the ruler of Aceh.

Besides Ali Mughayat Shah, Bustān also describes the figure of an influential sultan in the Aceh kingdom because Bustān emphasizes the power side more than the side of mysticism or Islamic Sufism, as in the history of Islam in Java (Salim, 2013). As in Java, the term keraton (palace) is another name for an Islamic sultanate with a royal government system where the ruler is a sacred, noble figure with an established narrative of Sufism and mysticism (Anggraeni Dyah & Zein, 2020; Woodward, 2011). The two rulers were Sultan Salahuuddin (reigned 1530-1539 AD) and Sultan Ala’uddin Ri’ayat Shah (reigned 1537-1571 AD). In Bustān the two sultans (especially Sultan Ala’uddin Ri’ayat Shah, who is more prominent in Bustān) made significant contributions to the revitalization of government, such as the management of Dār al-Salām on aspects of legal and customary regulations, governance of urban development, starting diplomatic relations. With the Ottoman Empire in Turkey, which was an Islamic superpower. Sultan Ala’uddin Ri’ayat Shah was the first sultan to inflame the spirit of war in the Acehnese people to fight against the Portuguese invaders in Malacca (Rusli et al., 2020). Even according to Annabel Teh Gallop’s findings, Sultan Ala’uddin Ri’ayat Shah received help from the Ottoman Sultanate in Turkey, jointly attacking the Portuguese (Teh Gallop, 2004). The figure of Sultan Ala’uddin Ri’ayat Shah is so particular that in Bustān he is nicknamed “Marhum Qahhar” which means: “Sultan Conqueror” (Braginsky, 2015).

The fame of Sultan Ala’uddin Ri’ayat Shah was continued by his son, Sultan Hussain (ruled 1571-1579), who held the title ‘Ali Ri’ayat Shah after his father’s name. In Bustān, he is described as “a pious sultan, gentle to the poor, and loves the people and glorifies ulamā” (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966). During the time of ‘Ali Ri’ayat Shah, openness to knowledge was also a program of the sultan where Bustān mentioned a ‘ulama’ from Mecca who was of the Shafi’i school named Shaykh Nūr al-Dīn visited and taught Sufism to students in the sultanate (Laffan, 2011, pp. 14–15). Bustān also commended ‘Ali Ri’ayat Shah for his service in forming alliances with Muslim kingdoms in Java and other Malays against the Portuguese invaders in Malacca in 1573-74 (Hadi, 1999; Reid, 2004; Ricklefs, 2008).

However, Bustān does not always show the good side of the ruling sultans in Aceh. There is also mention of the wrong side of some sultans, for example, Raja Seri Alam (r. 1579) a brother of ‘Ali Ri’ayat Shah. Raja Seri Alam is described in Bustān as a hot-tempered person, incapable of managing the court and having no influence on his position as a sultan. Likewise, the successor of Raja Seri Alam, Sultan Zainal ‘Abidin bin Sultan ‘Abdullah is described as a ‘cold-blood killer’ (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966, pp. 32–33). Bustān does not explain why the two sultans are negative. But in Hikajat Atjeh the figure of Raja Seri Alam is generous and likes to spend his life worshipping in the
mosque. Of course, this is in stark contrast to the character in Bustān. Meanwhile, Sultan Zainal 'Abidin bin Sultan 'Abdullah is described as a person who likes to splurge, lives in luxury, desires to establish hostility, and does not think about the fate of the sultanate (Iskandar, 1959, pp. 97–98).

Hikajat Atjeh’s comparative description of the two sultan figures above proves that the narratives made in Bustān favor the sultan, who has a negative character. This shows that there are certain social classes that Bustān glorifies, which evokes the feeling of elitism among the aristocrats. Kathirithamby-Wells referred to him orang kaya, meaning “rich people,” which denotes the elite in terms of economic and social strata. Kathirithamby-Wells found this pattern to occur in kingdoms in Southeast Asia where social strata were seen from the economy so that the orang kaya had authority among the rulers (Kathirithamby-Wells, 1986). According to Reid, the orang kaya could govern collectively, and a wave of inclination toward the rich increased in Aceh and Patani when they became successful traders (Reid, 1988b).

As the author of Bustān al-Salāfīn, Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranirī was attracted to the idea of a robust and influential ruler who had a positive image of Malay society and an appearance of piety. The view of Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranirī is based on an Islamic political paradigm that wants a ruler with these characteristics. The view of Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranirī follows Sunni political thought, where chaos in the kingdom resulted from fitnah (slander), which showed that a strong sultan did not hold the leadership. Even Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranirī was more inclined to the wise sultan than the pious sultan (Enayat, 2005; Gin & Tuan, 2015b; Rosenthal & J, 1958).

In the 17th century, especially in Aceh, the idea of an authoritative sultan seemed to be an icon of the sultanate’s power. In Bustān itself, a weak sultan is a person who does not have religious solid knowledge and high political experience. Politics in the Sunni tradition emphasizes aspects of strong leadership driven by strong religious knowledge (Kayane, 2020). The depiction of the sultan as “tempered”, “cold-blooded killer”, and “spree” shows a strong involvement in the political ideas of the Sunni leadership. Bustān is dedicated to the authoritative and influential sultan in Aceh. The aesthetic aspect of Bustān also shows that a strong sultan would decorate his kingdom like the landmark Alhambra palace in Granada, with all the rules and charming governance.

c. Taman Ghairah, Darul 'Ishki and Gegunongan

That Bustān raises is the question of Iskandar Thani’s level of power. Puerta-Vílchez is a landmark of the Alhambra palace in Granada in a beautiful hilly mountain area filled with shady gardens, adding to the cool eyes to see (Puerta-Vílchez, 2021). Bustān mentions “Taman Ghairah” which is one part of the kingdom that represented the Sultanate of Aceh in the 17th century. Until now, the Taman Ghairah still exists as evidence of historical artifacts. Bustān al-Salāfīn means Taman Para Sultan (the Garden of Sultans) in the sultanate complex of Aceh with an area of 1000 depa (depa is the equivalent of half a meter) overgrown with shady trees and fruit. It was named Taman Ghairah because the purpose of making this park was to increase the excitement of the sultans to feel at home in the palace and add inspiration when taking care of the people amid various kinds of socio-religious polemics. Taman Ghairah faces the palace and is connected to a gate made of stone called the Pintu Biram Indra Bangsa. In the center of the park, there is Darul 'Ishki or a river flowing cool water from the Jabal al- ‘Ala mountains emanating from black stones (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966, p. 48).

Another function of Darul ‘Ishki is also as a meditation medium for the sultans and palace dwellers. There is another object name Bustān along with Darul ‘Ishki, which is mentioned as gunongan or gegunongan. In Bustan’s sentence, it is stated:

“Di sisi kanan sungai Darul ‘Ishki...”

“Ada sebuah taman yang sangat besar nan indah... bernama Medan Khairan; dan di pusara tengah taman itu terdapat sebuah gunung, di atasnya ada sebuah menara untuk meditasi, yang diberi nama Gegunongan Menara Permata” (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966, p. 49).
“Di Gegunongan ada gua (guha) dengan pintu yang dilapisi perak; di sisinya terdapat kandang baginda (kandang baginda), bagi mereka yang ingin masuk ke dalamnya diminta untuk membacakan doa (salawah) kepada Nabi Muhammad” (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966, p. 50).

Translation:

“On the right side of the Darul ‘Ishki river...”

“There is a very large and beautiful garden... called Medan Khairan; and in the central tomb of the garden, there is a mountain, on top of which there is a tower for meditation, which is named Gegunongan Menara Permata”.

“In Gegunongan there is a cave (guha) with a door covered in silver; on his side, there is the king’s cage (kandang baginda), for those who want to enter it are asked to read a prayer (salawah) to the Prophet Muhammad”.

In modern research, several archaeologists mention gegunongan as a manifestation of Aceh’s cultural forms. Them Wessing (1988) mentions that gunongan is a ‘symbolic mountain’ which is one part of the palace courtyard (garden) as a feature that this is the beautiful garden of the Aceh sultanate (Wessing, 1988, pp. 157–194). Meanwhile, Brakel noted gegunongan was deliberately formed as a mountain, as in the Hindu view of the mountain of the Gods (Mahameru) (Brakel, 1975, p. 60), or the center of the universe, the gods lead the world (Fadhil & Fakriah, 2021; Fakriah, 2021).
Figure 4. Gegunongan, a mountain-like building in Taman Ghairah
Source: (Norhayati, 2017)

Brakel, like Linehan and Sumukti, is more inclined towards Hindu buildings because of the shape of the mountain, like a pewayangan (puppet) (Linehan, 1951; Sumukti, 1997). However, Shiraishi disagreed with the three because the gegonungan and Taman Ghairah were bright white like bright white blood (Shiraishi, 1990, p. 47) as mentioned in Hikajat Atjeh (Iskandar, 1959, p. 116). Apart from the archaeological analysis, gegunongan and Taman Ghairah are manifestations of the power of the ‘Sultan’ in Aceh. Reid asserted that the era of Ala’uddin Ri’ayat Shah had given birth to an ‘absolute’ system of government, culminating in the Iskandar Muda era (1604-1636) (Iskandar, 1980; Lombard, 1986b). This is a sign of the rise of Islam in the Aceh Malay region, which is oriented towards the sultanate system (Burhanudin, 2006), with the Sufism foundation that the sultan must be a “perfect human” (insān al-kāmil) as a title of praise for the ‘almighty’ sultan who has achieved the highest degree in royal social and political discourse (Reid, 2004). So that, Wessing’s findings state gegunongan is the pinnacle of veneration for Sultan Iskandar Muda as a place of meditation in holding his insān al-kāmil title (Wessing, 1988, pp. 177–178).

During the era of Sultan Iskandar Thani, when Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī wrote the Bustān script, the function and existence of the Taman Ghairah were still enforced in the royal order. This further added to the sultans' power that their piety and Sufism led to a social legitimacy that served as what Lowe called the 'magnetic levitation' of the 'idol', namely the sultan. Lowe even mentions the Middle Ages as the peak of levitation in civilization (Lowe, 2016). Of course, this is reasonable because at the time of Iskandar Thani the supremacy of power based on the principles of Islamic Islamic Sharī'ah, was marked by the conferment of Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī as Syaikh al-Islām (guru bangsa, ‘ulamā’, atau head of ‘ulamā’) replaced the two former Malay ‘ulamā’ terdahulu yaitu Shams al-Dīn al-Sumatrānī and Hamzah Fansuri (Quddus, 2019; Sirry, 2019; Tuncer, 2021) with their thoughts on wujūdiyah (Van Nieuwenhuijze, 1948). Thus, meditation in the Taman Ghairah is a Sufistic practice for spiritual sharpening with the principles of Sharī’ah also used.

d. Pilgrimage of ‘Auliya’ in Bustān al-Salāfi

Besides discussing the Taman Ghairah and other loci, Bustān also discusses Islamic pilgrimage practices such as visiting the graves of the ‘auliyā’ (wali or holy people). In Bustān, pilgrimages seem to be another major focus of Iskandar Thani after he constructs the Taman Ghairah. His spiritual odyssey was not only limited to meditation on the gegunongan. He had the gift to visit the graves of the ‘auliyā’ as a spiritual complement to becoming a sultan. Bustān mentions Iskandar Thani is not alone in doing this pilgrimage, unlike the meditation at the gegunongan, which a sultan himself carried out. However, Iskandar Thani invites the people of Aceh to join him on a pilgrimage when the harvest season has arrived. Iskandar Thani did two things: as an expression of gratitude to God for having
been given a bountiful harvest and as logistical support for the kingdom for supplies during pilgrimages (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966, pp. 52–53). For a pilgrimage to the graves of these ‘auliyā’ Iskandar Thani set Pasai as his destination. During his search, Bustān mentions that Iskandar Thani said:


Translation: “Pasai is a metropolitan country full of people and activities. Many saints lead the country. We want to make a pilgrimage to the tomb of ‘auliyā’ and its rulers (Pasai kings)”

In Islamic anthropology, the Malay Archipelago, and other places in the Muslim world, such as the Middle East, are places where people make pilgrimages to the graves of holy people (wali or ‘auliyā’). This practice is a kind of obligatory ritual for Muslims outside of their religious obligations, such as praying (salāt), issuing zakāt (a kind of staple food) for the poor, fasting in the month of Ramaḍān (ṣiyām), going on pilgrimage (ḥajj) (Eickelman & Piscatori, 2013; Hitchcock, 2005). The practice of pilgrimages to the tombs of the saints is often done by Javanese Muslims. Wali Songo is a religious tourism site most visited and minimised by Muslim communities from various regions (Fox, 2001). So important is the pilgrimage to the grave of ‘auliyā’ that Muslims flock to do it every year, month, and week. Their goal is to ask for blessings from the gravesite because it is suspected that the ‘auliyā’ who have died are close to God so that their existence can provide benefits for the fulfillment of all desires (Jamhari, 2000, 2001). Besides the motive of seeking blessings (ngalap berkah), there is another purpose for this practice to become a tradition outside of religion. As did Sultan Agung, the greatest ruler in the Mataram kingdom (r. 1613-1646) made a pilgrimage to the tomb of Sunan Bayat around 1633 (Doorn-Harder & de Jong, 2001). Sultan Agung made a pilgrimage to Sunan Bayat’s tomb was coloured by political elements divided into two: the crushing of royal rebels that Sultan Agung carried out in 1630 before his pilgrimage to Sunan Bayat. Second, Sultan Agung wanted to convert the Javanese calendar system from Saka to the Javanese-Islamic calendar. For these two reasons, the Sultan wanted to gain legitimacy as a ‘pious sultan’ in the eyes of the Javanese people (Ricklefs, 2008, pp. 52–55).

Iskandar Thani’s pilgrimage to Pasai can be seen from the political context and the context of spiritual piety. As it is known that Samudra Pasai was known long before the sultanate in Aceh as an Islamic solid kingdom, so it was popularly referred to as the city of ‘Serambi Mecca’ (Kartomi, 2010, p. 87). Likewise, Ibn Battuta, who has a strong desire to make a pilgrimage to Sultan Malik al-Zahir (Gibb, 2017), shows that Pasai is an important city in the world of pilgrimage in Malay. Iskandar Thani’s strong motive behind his pilgrimage to Pasai was the religious and political acknowledgment of 15th century Malacca, the most powerful Islamic empire in the region at that century. In the Malay History text, the rulers of Malacca asked the ‘ulamā’ of Samudra Pasai to resolve their religious problems (Winstedt, 1938a, pp. 126–129).

Thus, Iskandar Muda’s pilgrimage to Pasai had a strong spiritual reason, namely wanting to show that the sultan respected the ‘ulama’ and ‘auliyā’ in Pasai, who had made significant contributions to Islamic civilization. For this reason, Iskandar Muda has a strong authority in legitimizing himself as the ruler of Islam. In Bustān, it is clearly stated that Iskandar Thani’s pilgrimage to Pasai wanted his political power in the territory of the Aceh sultanate over the local ruler (Pasai). Bustān also mentioned that the political power of the Acehnese rulers was showed by various visits to Pasai (after Iskandar Muda), where the Acehnese rulers received a warm welcome from the local Pasai rulers including in the areas of Gunong Idahan, Indera Dunia, Pidie, Shahr Deli, Merdu
‘Ishki, Jemper and Pesangan (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966, pp. 54–56). Because when visiting these places, the sultans in Aceh will receive strong recognition from other regions as “true rulers of Aceh”.

In Bustān Iskandar, Thanī’s pilgrimage is narrated as follows:


Translation: “This spiritual journey continues to Pasai. He spent one night at Pasai palace, and the next day went on a pilgrimage to the tombs of the sharif and ‘auliyā’ illāh (the saints of Allah), where he recited Surah al-Fatiḥah to offer prayers to both of them. And light a dian or istanggi (oil lamp). He visited a similar grave in the Ocean’s land on the same day and performed the same ritual. The ocean became the final destination of his visit. Next, he traveled back to Aceh Dar al-Salām via the same route when he left for pilgrimage.”

Iskandar Thanī’s visit appears to be the final episode of his political career as the Sultan of Aceh. Bustān described it as the beginning of Aceh’s famous political power decline. The kingdom of Johor, which was captured during the reign of Iskandar Muda, separated itself separately, and its power grew independently (Auni, 1993). In addition, the support from the VOC made Johor even more aggressive in attacking Pahang (Iskandar Thanī’s ancestral kingdom) (Khan, 2010). Bustān explains that Iskandar Thanī was helpless, doing nothing when he heard of the attack (Roolvink, 1981). He was only disappointed with the attitude of Johor, who had bad intentions toward Aceh, even though Aceh was good friends with Johor (Raniri & Iskandar, 1966, p. 58). Not long after, Iskandar Thanī fell ill and died in February 1641, which caused unstable conditions in Aceh and the rest of the other Malay world next to Aceh (Bassett, 1969; Reid & Ito, 1999). This impacted the leadership of the next Aceh sultan.

4. Conclusion

Bustān al-Salāfīn is a traditional Malay manuscript that presents historical trends of Islamic civilization from the side of ancient manuscripts studied in the modern era where Islam was narrated so prominently about the Sultanate of Aceh in the 17th century. In Bustān, the epic about Islam adopted to form a sultanate in local Aceh, the behavior of its elite rulers (the sultans), and the ideas and governance of the state under the auspices of religion. As a monumental work in the 17th century, Bustān departs from other classical Malay texts, such as Hikajat Atjeh, Sejarah Melayu and Hikayat Raja-raja Pasai. As a work written by Sheikh al-Islām Aceh (Nūr al-Dīn al-Ranīrī), it should strengthen the supremacy of Sultan Iskandar Thanī’s power based on an Islamic solid Sharī‘ah and replace wujūdīyah Sufism. The preparation of Bustān was based on the socio-religious conditions of the Aceh’s sultanate was advancing in the fields of politics and Islamic intellectual development. Bustān is recognized as a contributor to the early Malay literary tradition, especially Aceh, because this region was the forerunner to other Malay kingdoms. Bustān is the embodiment of Islamic civilization in Aceh in the past to contemporary Aceh known as the “veranda of Mecca”. Finally, Bustān is not only a traditional Malay Islamic ancient text but more than that as evidence of historical narratives as evidenced by Taman Ghairah, Darul ‘Ishki, and Gegunongan, whose existence is still exists today. Besides that, Bustān contributed to the pilgrimage tradition of the ‘auliyā’ where this practice is common in Java and other parts of the Muslim world.
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