

Short-Term Evaluation of Hypertonic Dextrose Prolotherapy Intra-Articular Injection on Femoral Cartilage Thickness Among Knee Osteoarthritis Patients with Obesity

Rita Vivera Pane,^{1,2*}Aisyah,¹ Handayani,³Aufar Zimamuz Zaman Al Hajiri⁴

¹Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Surabaya 60237, Indonesia

²Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Haji Provinsi Jawa Timur, Surabaya 60116, Indonesia

³Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Surabaya 60237, Indonesia

⁴Medical Doctor, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Surabaya 60237, Indonesia *Corresponding Author: <u>dr.rita@unusa.ac.id</u>

DOI: 10.33086/iimj.v6i2.6279

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Keywords:	Introduction: Obesity significantly contributes to higher morbidity rates and increases
femoral cartilage	the risk of knee osteoarthritis (KOA).
thickness, knee	Objective: This study evaluated the short-term effect of intra-articular hypertonic
osteoarthritis,	dextrose prolotherapy (HDP) injections on femoral cartilage (FC) thickness in obese
obesity,	patients with KOA.
hypertonic	Methods: This quasi-experimental clinical trial included KOA patients with obesity. The
dextrose	intervention group received HDP injections, while the control group received normal
prolotherapy,	saline (NS) injections. FC thickness was measured using musculoskeletal ultrasound
normal saline	(US) before the intervention, 2 weeks, and 6 weeks after the intervention. Mean
	differences within and between groups were analyzed, with significance at $P < 0.05$.
Submitted: July	Results: A total of 38 participants diagnosed with KOA and obesity were included. In
25 th 2024	the HDP group, FC thickness increased from pre-intervention to 6 weeks post-
Reviewed: Sept	intervention: in the medial condyle (MC) from 1.33 ± 0.61 mm to 1.48 ± 0.56 mm, in
3 rd 2024	the intercondylar (IC) region from 1.38 ± 0.65 mm to 1.57 ± 0.61 mm, and in the lateral
Accepted: Oct	condyle (LC) from 1.57 ± 0.70 mm to 1.78 ± 0.66 mm. Repeated measures of ANOVA
27 th 2024	revealed significant improvements in FC thickness at all sites (MC, IC, and LC) in the
	HDP group ($P < 0.05$). No significant changes in FC thickness were observed in the NS
	group.
	Conclusions: HDP injections have a beneficial short-term effect on increasing FC
	thickness in obese patients with KOA.

Introduction

Obesity is a significant public health issue worldwide, including in Indonesia, where its prevalence continues to rise. Data from the Indonesian Family Life Survey (IFLS) from 1993 to 2014 showed an increase in the prevalence of overweight and obesity among adults from 17.1% to 33.0%. The study concluded that one-third of Indonesians were overweight in 2014.

The 2018 Indonesian Basic Health Survey revealed a rise in obesity prevalence, with a body mass index (BMI) over 27 kg/m², increasing from 10.5% in 2007 to 21.8% in 2018. Obesity has a significant impact on morbidity and mortality rates, increasing the risk of conditions such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, stroke, coronary heart disease, dyslipidemia, and knee osteoarthritis (KOA) (Ayuningtyas et al., 2022; Azam et al., 2023; Oddo et al., 2019; Rachmi et al., 2017; Tham et al., 2023).

Obesity is linked to adverse effects on cartilage thickness and joint loading. Evaluating femoral cartilage (FC) thickness using ultrasound (US) scanning may be useful in assessing FC in obese patients at high risk for KOA onset and progression. Obese patients have higher FC echo intensity compared to non-obese individuals, and a high body fat percentage is associated with increased cartilage echo intensity (Pamukoff et al., 2020).

Previous studies have demonstrated that the correlation between joint loads and femoral cartilage (FC) thickness is influenced by body mass index (BMI). Reduced cartilage thickness is associated with both obesity and aging (Blazek et al., 2014). In Indonesia, studies have evaluated FC thickness in patients with knee osteoarthritis (KOA) compared to those without KOA. The KOA group showed thinner FC than the non-KOA group. A significant difference was observed in medial condyle (MC) thickness on both sides, though no significant difference was found in the intercondylar (IC) or lateral condyle (LC) (Pane et al., 2023). Interestingly, the mean MC thickness was significantly higher in the KOA group compared to the non-KOA group. Another study using a quantitative tridimensional approach showed that the posterior MC was considerably thicker in KOA patients (Omoumi et al., 2018).

Current KOA management generally includes non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and physical rehabilitation therapy. However, many patients report recurrent knee pain once the drug effects wear off. Intra-articular injection is an alternative method for KOA management. High-density platelet (HDP) therapy has been proposed as an alternative therapy that may aid tissue regeneration, improve clinical symptoms, and restore damaged tissue structures—pathological issues associated with KOA. A systematic review of 12 studies showed that HDP was more effective in improving functional outcomes compared to other treatments (Waluyo et al., 2023). Although the mechanism of HDP in reducing KOA pain is not fully understood, recent studies suggest a rapid and medium-term analgesic effect after intra-articular HDP injection, accompanied by beneficial changes in synovial fluid

2

neuro cytokines such as substance P (SP) and neuropeptide Y (NPY) (Topol et al., 2022). The objective of this study was to evaluate the short-term effect of intraarticular HDP injection on FC thickness in obese patients with KOA.

Methods

This quasi-experimental clinical trial evaluated femoral cartilage (FC) thickness using musculoskeletal ultrasound (US) Participants were KOA measurements. patients with obesity, recruited from the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (PM&R) at Hajj Regional General Hospital of East Java Province (RSUD Haji Provinsi Jawa Timur). Surabaya, Indonesia. These patients received treatment at the outpatient clinic between May and August 2023.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were KOA patients with obesity, diagnosed based on clinical and radiological assessments, including grades I-IV of the Kellgren-Lawrence criteria. Grade I shows possible osteophytes and questionable joint space narrowing. Grade Π shows definite osteophytes and joint space narrowing. Grade III presents multiple moderate osteophytes, definite joint space narrowing, and possible bone contour deformity. Grade IV shows large osteophytes, definite joint space narrowing, and bone contour deformity. Obesity was defined using the World Health Organization (WHO) Asia-Pacific standards: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5 – 22.9 kg/m²), overweight (23 – 24.9 kg/m²), and obese (\geq 25 kg/m²). Patients with a history of knee surgery, knee injury, or prior knee intra-articular injection were excluded. Eligible participants were allocated into two groups: the intervention group and the control group.

Intervention

The intervention group received highdensity platelet (HDP) injections, while the control group received normal saline (NS) The intervention group was injections. given an intra-articular injection of 25% dextrose (4 ml) at the first visit (week 0) and the second visit (week 2). The control group received a single injection of normal saline and 1% lidocaine, with the volume adjusted for each patient, at the first visit (week 0). Injections for both groups were administered under musculoskeletal US guidance.

Measurements

Basic data such as age, gender, weight, height, BMI, and Kellgren-Lawrence stage were recorded at the initial appointment. The primary outcome was FC thickness, measured three times: before the

3

intervention, 2 weeks after the intervention, and 6 weeks after the intervention. FC thickness was measured in millimeters using the musculoskeletal US on the knee diagnosed with KOA. Measurements were taken at three sites: the medial condyle (MC), intercondylar (IC) region, and lateral condyle (LC). A Canon Xario 100, a 2D musculoskeletal US device with a 7.2-14 MHz linear transducer (type 18L7; Canon Medical Systems USA, Inc., Tustin, Calif, USA), was used. The evaluation was performed by a certified physiatrist with five years of experience over in musculoskeletal US scanning.

Data analysis

Age, gender, BMI, Kellgren-Lawrence grade, KOA side, and pre-intervention FC thickness were analyzed descriptively, with data presented as mean \pm SD or number (%). Initially, the normality of the primary data, FC thickness, was tested. As the data were normally distributed, a parametric test was used. Between-group analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test to compare the mean difference between the two groups, while within-group analysis was conducted using repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess changes in mean FC thickness from preintervention to week 6 in each group. A significance level of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data

were collected using Microsoft Excel 365 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, Washington, USA), and data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26.0 for Mac (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results and Discussion

This study involved a total of 38 participants diagnosed with both KOA and obesity, as shown in Figure 1. The average age of participants in the NS and HDP groups was 62.5 \pm 7.78 years and 62.4 \pm 8.88 respectively, years, with а predominance of females in both groups. The mean BMI in the NS group was higher compared to the HDP group, while the mean Kellgren-Lawrence grade was higher in the HDP group than in the NS group (Table 1). Before the intervention, the FC thickness at the lateral condyle (LC), intercondylar (IC) region, and medial condyle (MC) were 1.47 ± 0.45 mm, $1.23 \pm$ 0.47 mm, and 1.33 ± 0.49 mm, respectively, in the NS group. In the HDP group, the thickness was 1.57 ± 0.70 mm, 1.38 ± 0.65 mm, and 1.33 ± 0.61 mm at the same locations.

Statistical analysis using the Mann-Whitney test showed no significant differences between the two groups (P > 0.05) (Table 2). However, within-group analysis using repeated measures ANOVA from pre-intervention to 6 weeks postintervention revealed significant improvements in FC thickness at all sites (LC, IC, and MC) in the HDP group (P < 0.05), but no significant changes were observed in the NS group (P > 0.05) (Table 3).

Before the intervention, the NS group had thinner FC at the LC and IC sites compared to the HDP group, while the average thickness at the MC site was similar. Among the three sites observed, the MC was the thinnest. This finding supports our earlier study, which evaluated 254 adult knees in non-OA individuals from Indonesia, showing average cartilage thicknesses of 1.76 mm in the MC, 1.89-1.92 mm in the IC, and 1.69–1.71 mm in the LC (Pane et al., 2022). Other studies also suggest that the MC is more affected by weight-bearing, with structural damage such as thinning and cartilage defects occurring in obese individuals due to

increased pressure (Lacy et al., 2016). Increased knee flexion during the early ambulation phase, coupled with the heavier load on the medial condyle, is observed in obese patients. A cadaveric study found that weight-bearing in individuals with a BMI \geq 30 increased stress, contributing to MC defects (Chen et al., 2020). Obesity is also known to exacerbate KOA in patients with varus malalignment, though this effect is less pronounced in those with valgus malalignment, as genu valgus helps protect the medial condyle.

In contrast, varus malalignment combined with obesity tends to accelerate disease progression, particularly in the medial tibiofemoral joint, suggesting that correcting malalignment may be a therapeutic target in obesity-related KOA (Rogers et al., 2021).

Variables	HDP group (20)	NS group (18)
Age (years)	62.4 ± 8.88	62.5 ± 7.78
Sex (female)	19 (95.0%)	15 (83.3%)
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.66 ± 4.52	30.62 ± 3.87
Kallgren-Lawrence Grade	3.05 ± 0.60	2.77 ± 0.65
KOA Side		
Right	7 (35.0%)	5 (27.7%)
Left	13 (65.0%)	13 (72.3%)
FC Thickness (mm) ^a		
Medial Condyle	1.33 ± 0.61	1.33 ± 0.49
Intercondylar	1.38 ± 0.65	1.23 ± 0.47

	Г	ab	le	1.	General	data
--	---	----	----	----	---------	------

5

Lateral Condyle	1.57 ± 0.70	1.47 ± 0.45
-----------------	-----------------	---------------

Note: Data are presented as mean \pm SD or number of participants.

^a Femoral Cartilage Thickness before intervention

Abbreviations: HDP = hypertonic dextrose prolotherapy; NS = normal saline; BMI = body mass index; KOA = knee osteoarthritis; FC = femoral cartilage.

Variable	HDP group	NS group	P-value ^a	
Medial Condyle (mm)				
Pre-intervention	1.33 ± 0.61	1.33 ± 0.49	0.961	
Week-2	1.39 ± 0.54	1.35 ± 0.46	0.809	
Week-6	1.48 ± 0.56	1.34 ± 0.46	0.428	
Intercondylar (mm)				
Pre-intervention	1.38 ± 0.65	1.23 ± 0.47	0.421	
Week-2	1.45 ± 0.61	1.18 ± 0.48	0.144	
Week-6	1.57 ± 0.61	1.22 ± 0.49	0.067	
Lateral Condyle (mm)				
Pre-intervention	1.57 ± 0.70	1.47 ± 0.45	0.620	
Week-2	1.66 ± 0.67	1.44 ± 0.47	0.258	
Week-6	1.78 ± 0.66	1.45 ± 0.46	0.094	

Table 2.	Between-group	o analysis	of FC	thickness.
----------	---------------	------------	-------	------------

Note: Data are presented as mean \pm SD.

^aSignificant value was considered P < 0.05

Abbreviations: HDP = hypertonic dextrose prolotherapy; NS = normal saline.

Pre-intervention	Week-2	Week-6	P-value ^a		
1.33 ± 0.61	1.39 ± 0.54	1.48 ± 0.56	0.015		
1.33 ± 0.49	1.35 ± 0.46	1.34 ± 0.46	0.883		
1.38 ± 0.65	1.45 ± 0.61	1.57 ± 0.61	0.000		
1.23 ± 0.47	1.18 ± 0.48	1.22 ± 0.49	0.233		
1.57 ± 0.70	1.66 ± 0.67	1.78 ± 0.66	0.000		
1.47 ± 0.45	1.44 ± 0.47	1.45 ± 0.46	0.372		
	1.33 ± 0.61 1.33 ± 0.49 1.38 ± 0.65 1.23 ± 0.47 1.57 ± 0.70	1.33 ± 0.61 1.39 ± 0.54 1.33 ± 0.49 1.35 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.65 1.45 ± 0.61 1.23 ± 0.47 1.18 ± 0.48 1.57 ± 0.70 1.66 ± 0.67	1.33 ± 0.61 1.39 ± 0.54 1.48 ± 0.56 1.33 ± 0.49 1.35 ± 0.46 1.34 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.65 1.45 ± 0.61 1.57 ± 0.61 1.23 ± 0.47 1.18 ± 0.48 1.22 ± 0.49 1.57 ± 0.70 1.66 ± 0.67 1.78 ± 0.66		

Table 3. Within group analysis of FC thickness.

Note: Data are presented as mean \pm SD.

^aSignificant value was considered P < 0.05

Abbreviations: HDP = hypertonic dextrose prolotherapy; NS = normal saline.

The evaluation at three-time points before the intervention, 2 weeks after, and 6 weeks after— revealed that the HDP group showed significant improvements in FC thickness at all locations (MC, IC, and LC), while the NS group did not show significant

changes. A previous study evaluating HDP injections in KOA patients with musculoskeletal ultrasound over a 12month follow-up also demonstrated a significant increase in MC and LC thickness compared to baseline (Soliman et al., 2016).

Thinning of femoral cartilage (FC) occurs naturally with aging, and knee osteoarthritis (KOA) accelerates its severity. Throughout life, cartilage undergoes a remodeling process, but in KOA patients, the ability to regenerate is impaired due to the reduced quality of the extracellular matrix and rapid turnover rate (Jeon et al., 2023). FC thickness has become an important biomarker for diagnosing and monitoring KOA progression (Dório et al., 2020). The progressive nature of KOA is associated with gradual cartilage defects and bone degeneration (Keefe et al., 2023). In early-stage KOA, changes in cartilage composition occur before significant cartilage thinning (Küçükakkaş et al., 2022).

FC thickness varies significantly and is influenced by factors such as gender, weight, height, and BMI. A previous study evaluating healthy adults concluded that gender plays a role, with men having thicker FC than women (Pane et al., 2022). Another study involving 100 participants found that men and athletes had significantly thicker FC compared to women and individuals with low physical activity. However, no significant relationship was found between BMI and articular cartilage (AC) thickness in non-obese adolescents with adequate physical activity (Bedewi, Elsifey, Naguib, Saleh, Nwihadh, et al., 2020; Herrera H et al., 2020). In addition, FC thickness is linked to diabetes mellitus (DM). Previous research indicated that DM affects FC thickness, with ultrasound scans showing that FC in diabetic patients appears thinner irregular, suggesting and cartilage degeneration (Banu Aydın & Köseoğlu, 2023; Bedewi, Elsifey, Naguib, Saleh, Al-Ghamdi, et al., 2020).

Conclusion

In conclusion, HDP injection demonstrated a beneficial effect in increasing femoral cartilage (FC) thickness in obese patients with knee osteoarthritis (KOA) in the short term. The FC thickness was thinnest at the medial condyle (MC) compared to the intercondylar (IC) and lateral condyle (LC) sites. A significant improvement in FC thickness was observed in the HDP group in the within-group analysis.

References

Ayuningtyas, D., Kusuma, D., Amir, V.,
Tjandrarini, D. H., & Andarwati, P.
(2022). Disparities in Obesity Rates among Adults: Analysis of 514 Districts in Indonesia. *Nutrients*, *14*(16). https://doi.org/10.3390/NU14163332

- Azam, M., Sakinah, L. F., Kartasurya, M. I., Fibriana, A. I., Minuljo, T. T., & Aljunid, S. M. (2023). Prevalence and determinants of obesity among individuals with diabetes in Indonesia. *F1000Research*, *11*, 1063. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research. 125549.3
- Banu Aydın, Z., & Köseoğlu, D. (2023).
 Ultrasonographic assessment of knee joint in patients with diabetes mellitus: comparison of sonographic changes in distal femoral cartilage and tendon structures with non-diabetics patients.
 European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences, 27(15), 7180–7187.

https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202308 _33291

- Bedewi, M. A., Elsifey, A. A., Naguib, M.
 F., Saleh, A. K., Al-Ghamdi, S., Alhariqi, B. A., Aldossary, N. M., & Gould, E. S. (2020). Ultrasonographic measurement of femoral cartilage thickness in type II diabetic patients. *Medicine*, 99(14). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000 0019455
- Bedewi, M. A., Elsifey, A. A., Naguib, M.F., Saleh, A. K., Nwihadh, N. Bin, Abd-Elghany, A. A., & Swify, S. M. (2020).Sonographic assessment of femoral

cartilage thickness in healthy adults. *The Journal of International Medical Research*, 48(8). https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060520948 754

- Blazek, K., Favre, J., Asay, J., Erhart-Hledik, J., & Andriacchi, T. (2014). Age and obesity alter the relationship between femoral articular cartilage thickness and ambulatory loads in individuals without osteoarthritis. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research : Official Publication of the Orthopaedic Research Society*, 32(3), 394–402. https://doi.org/10.1002/JOR.22530
- Chen, L., Zheng, J. J. Y., Li, G., Yuan, J., Ebert, J. R., Li, H., Papadimitriou, J., Wang, Q., Wood, D., Jones, C. W., & Zheng, M. (2020). Pathogenesis and clinical management of obesity-related osteoarthritis: of knee Impact mechanical loading. Journal of Orthopaedic Translation, 24(November 2019). 66-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2020.05.00 1
- Dório, M., Hunter, D. J., Collins, J. E., Asher, R., Eckstein, F., Guermazi, A., Roemer, F. W., & Deveza, L. A. (2020). Association of baseline and change in tibial and femoral cartilage thickness and development of widespread fullthickness cartilage loss in knee osteoarthritis _ data from the

Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Osteoarthritis* and Cartilage, 28(6), 811–818. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOCA.2020.0 3.011

- Herrera H, G. A., Llinás, P. J., Flórez, L., Blanco Montes, C., Vernaza Obando, D., Díaz Solorzano, A., Loaiza, D., & Guillen Astete, C. (2020). Ultrasound measurement of femoral cartilage thickness in the knee of healthy young university students. *Revista Espanola de Cirugia Ortopedica y Traumatologia* (*English Ed.*), 64(4), 244–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RECOT.2020. 04.001
- Jeon, H., Donovan, L., & Thomas, A. C. (2023). Exercise-Induced Changes in Femoral Cartilage Thickness in Patients With Patellofemoral Pain. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 58(2), 128–135. https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-0602.21
- Keefe, T. H., Minnig, M. C., Arbeeva, L., Niethammer, M., Xu, Z., Shen, Z., Chen, B., Nissman, D. B., Golightly, Y. M., Marron, J. S., & Nelson, A. E. (2023). Patterns of variation among baseline femoral and tibial cartilage thickness and clinical features: Data from the osteoarthritis initiative. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open*, 5(1).

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OCARTO.202 3.100334

- Küçükakkaş, O., Aydın, T., & Yurdakul, O.
 V. (2022). Evaluation of the effect of intra-articular platelet-rich plasma and hyaluronic acid injections on femoral cartilage thickness in chronic knee osteoarthritis. *Acta Orthopaedica Belgica*, 88(4), 811–819. https://doi.org/10.52628/88.4.10243
- Lacy, K. W., Cracchiolo, A., Yu, S., & Goitz, H. (2016). Medial Femoral Condyle Cartilage Defect Biomechanics: Effect of Obesity, Defect Size, and Cartilage Thickness. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 44(2), 409–416. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546515613 517
- Oddo, V. M., Maehara, M., & Rah, J. H. (2019). Overweight in Indonesia: an observational study of trends and risk factors among adults and children. *BMJ Open*, 9(9). https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJOPEN-2019-031198
- Omoumi, P., Babel, H., Jolles, B. M., & Favre, J. (2018). Cartilage can be thicker in advanced osteoarthritic knees: A tridimensional quantitative analysis of cartilage thickness at posterior aspect of femoral condyles. *British Journal of Radiology*, 91(1087). https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20170729
- Pamukoff, D. N., Vakula, M. N., Holmes, S. C., Shumski, E. J., & Garcia, S. A.

(2020). Body mass index moderates the association between gait kinetics, body composition, and femoral knee cartilage characteristics. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research : Official Publication of the Orthopaedic Research Society*, *38*(12), 2685–2695.

https://doi.org/10.1002/JOR.24655

- Pane, R. V., Aisyah, Ariani, H., Isparnadi,
 E., Al Hajiri, A. Z. Z., & Setiawan, A. (2022). Ultrasound assessment of femoral cartilage thickness among healthy Indonesian adults. *Bali Medical Journal*, *11*(3), 2013–2016. https://doi.org/10.15562/BMJ.V11I3.36 67
- Pane, R. V., Setiyaningsih, R., Widodo, G., Al Hajiri, A. Z. Z., & Salsabil, J. R. (2023). Femoral Cartilage Thickness in Osteoarthritis Knee Patients and Healthy Adults: An Ultrasound Measurement Comparison. TheScientificWorldJournal, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/3942802
- Rachmi, C. N., Li, M., & Alison Baur, L. (2017). Overweight and obesity in Indonesia: prevalence and risk factors—a literature review. *Public Health*, 147, 20–29.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2017.02. 002

Rogers, D. L., Klyce, W., Kajstura, T. J., & Lee, R. J. (2021). Association of Body Mass Index With Severity and Lesion Location in Adolescents With Osteochondritis Dissecans of the Knee. Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 9(9). https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967121104 5382

- Soliman, D. M. I., Sherif, N. M., Omar, O. H., & El Zohiery, A. K. (2016). Healing effects of prolotherapy in treatment of knee osteoarthritis healing effects of prolotherapy in treatment of knee osteoarthritis. *Egyptian Rheumatology and Rehabilitation 2016* 43:2, 43(2), 47–52. https://doi.org/10.4103/1110-161X.181858
- Tham, K. W., Abdul Ghani, R., Cua, S. C., C., Deerochanawong, Fojas, M., Hocking, S., Lee, J., Nam, T. Q., Pathan, В., F., Saboo, Soegondo, S., Somasundaram, N., Yong, A. M. L., Ashkenas, J., Webster, N., & Oldfield, B. (2023). Obesity in South and Southeast Asia-A new consensus on care and management. *Obesity* Reviews: An Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity, 24(2). https://doi.org/10.1111/OBR.13520
- Topol, G. A., Pestalardo, I. G., Reeves, K.
 D., Elias, F., Steinmetz, N. J., Cheng, A.
 L., & Rabago, D. (2022). Dextrose
 Prolotherapy for Symptomatic Grade IV
 Knee Osteoarthritis: A Pilot Study of
 Early and Longer-Term Analgesia and

Pain-Specific Cytokine Concentrations. *Clinics and Practice*, *12*(6), 926–938. https://doi.org/10.3390/clinpract120600 97

Waluyo, Y., Artika, S. R., Wahyuni, I. N., Gunawan, A. M. A. K., & Zainal, A. T.
F. (2023). Efficacy of Prolotherapy for Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 55. https://doi.org/10.2340/JRM.V55.2572